

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/660,380	BELAIR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Apu M. Mofiz	2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas Brennan. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Apu M. Mofiz. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,32,35,38,42,45,49,53,57 and 60.

Identification of prior art discussed: Multilav: A Distributed Shared Memory System Based on Multiple Java Virtual Machines, Utah State University, 1998.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant and the Examiner agreed to add "making the data object available to the application processes on the first and second nodes that are associated with the group, wherein making the data object available includes ...returning the data object handle to a process associated with the group in response to a request from the process based on the data object name" or its equivalent to the independent claims 1,32,35,38,42,45,49,53,57 and 60 to further clarify the invention claimed.